ORIGINAL



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Brad Morton

Phone:

Fax:



Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

- 80625 No. 2009

Date: 7/27/2009

Complaint Description:

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Glenn

Bailey

Account Name:

Glenn Bailey

Street:

Work:

Home: (

City:

Sierra Vista

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85650

is:

Utility Company.

East Slope Water Company

Division:

Water

Contact Name:

Nature of Complaint:

Docket No. W-01906A-09-0283

From: Glenn Bailey,

Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2009 9:46 AM

To: Bradley Morton

End of Complaint*

Subject: Public Comment on East Slope Water's Surcharge Request

Contact Phone:

In general, I support East Slopes request to charge more so that they can insure uninterrupted water service. I have been a customer since 1980 and have often wondered how they could adequately maintain their system with such low water rates. It now appears that they should have asked for higher rates a long time ago.

I do, however, object to the same amount (the surcharge of approximately \$19.55) being charged to each customer regardless of their usage. This is not fair to those of us who are apparently low water users (My usage last 12 months averaged 3,673 per month vs the 8000 per month stated as user average). My average cost over last 12 months was \$12.61 per month and would increase to \$32.16 per month. This is a 155% increase. The average users bill would increase from \$19.26 to \$38.81 per month, a 101% increase. The higher than average users get an even better deal.

If East Slope in fact needs more money, then I recommend that water usage rates be increased instead of a flat surcharge. This is both fairer and might encourage conservation!!

Glenn Bailey

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUL 27 2009



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Called consumer to acknowledge receipt of opinion. *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 7/27/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 80625











